

Minimal invasive surgical techniques for transarterial embolization: Review

Yahya Hussain Alqahtani, Saud mohammed Libdah, Moayad Mohammed Sabrah, Abdulaziz Muidh Alqhtani, Fadaa magthel H Alotaibi, Ahmad Ayed M Alshbriqe, Khalid Abdullah N Alhotellah, Adel Awadh Alghurais

Abstract:

Transarterial embolization (TAE) is a technique that is increasingly being used to augment surgical options for an increasing number of pathologies. In this review we discuss the minimal invasive surgical techniques for percutaneous, renal, angiomyolipoma and prostatic transarterial embolization. Medline, and Embase, databases were searched for relevant studies discussing the transarterial embolization and surgical intervention for that through, October, 2017. Search restriction was applied to only English language published studies with human subjects. Emergency surgery or transarterial embolization (TAE) are options for the therapy of recurrent or refractory nonvariceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding. Surgery has the disadvantage of high rates of postoperative morbidity and mortality. Embolization has come to be more available and has the advantage of preventing laparotomy in this often unfit and elderly population. Transarterial embolization are successful minimally invasive approach for the treatment of metastatic spine and extraspinal illness. Transarterial embolization of angiomyolipoma demonstrates low rates of death and severe difficulties. Retreatment rates and size reduction at a mean follow-up duration of 39 months are presented. Longitudinal information evaluating long-lasting dimension reduction and retreatment rates are lacking, suggestions guiding the indications for transarterial embolization and clear follow-up need further longitudinal data. TAE is related to low procedural death (0%).

Introduction:

Transarterial embolization (TAE) is a technique that is progressively being used to enhance surgical alternatives for an increasing number of pathologies [1]. Arterial embolization is also known as trans-arterial embolization (or TAE). In this procedure a catheter (a thin, flexible tube) is put into an artery through a little cut in the inner thigh and threaded up into the hepatic artery in the liver. A dye is typically injected into the blood stream at this time to assist the physician monitor the path of the catheter using angiography, a unique type of x-ray. Once the catheter remains in place, little bits are injected into the artery to plug it up. The development of unique minimally intrusive procedures pursues innovative approaches similarly efficient to standard strategies with a more beneficial security profile. A real minimally invasive treatment should be costeffective and simple to perform. It needs to guarantee quick and long lasting relief of symptoms and preferably be executed in an ambulatory setup under local anaesthesia. A short healing time and smooth return to normal activity are necessary factors for quality of life after surgical treatment [2].

Emergency surgical procedure or transarterial embolization (TAE) are options for the treatment of persistent or refractory nonvariceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding [2]. Transarterial embolization of spine tumors was described in 1974 by Benati, that released very first outcomes of transfemoral discerning embolization in the therapy of cranial and vertebro-spinal vascular malformations and tumors [3].

Transarterial embolization (TAE) is a technique that is increasingly being used to augment surgical options for an increasing number of pathologies. In this review we discuss the minimal invasive surgical techniques for percutaneous, renal, angiomyolipoma and prostatic transarterial embolization.

Methodology:

Medline, and Embase, databases were searched for relevant studies discussing the transarterial embolization and surgical intervention for that through, October, 2017. Search restriction was applied to only English language published studies with human subjects, further we scanned the references of included studies for more concerned articles.

Discussion:

- **Percutaneous transarterial embolization surgery techniques**

The treatment includes percutaneous careful transarterial delivery of the embolization representative for direct devascularization of a hypervascular tumor. Transarterial embolization of spine tumors was defined in 1974 by Benati, who published first outcomes of transfemoral careful embolization in the treatment of cranial and vertebro-spinal vascular malformations and tumors [4].

Selective catheterization of tumor's feeding artery/ arteries is executed via the femoral artery gain access to. The treatment that can be carried out under local anesthesia integrated with sedation is performed under fluoroscopic guidance in an interventional radiology suite. The iodine

comparison representative administered intra-arterially is envisioned by fluoroscopy of the angiographic unit (C-arm). Digital reduction angiography (DSA) is an approach that allows subtraction of arteries from history tissue. With the DSA technique, the supraseductive catheterization of arteries that feed the tumor is feasible making use of special microcatheters (with outer diameter up to 0.4 millimeters). With the tip of the microcatheter in the target placement, the embolization material can be delivered to the tumor.

Different embolic products are readily available for transarterial embolization. They can be in solid state like particles (e.g., gelatin sponge, polyvinyl alcohol bits (PVA)) or coils, and in fluid state (alcohol, nbutyl cyanoacrylate (NCBA), ethylene-vinyl alcohol copolymer (Onyx)). Embolization with PVA particles is one of the most commonly used approach. Gelatin sponge and coils or a combination are additionally utilized in the setting of prospective collaterals requiring circulation diversion [5].

Back tumor embolization brings specific risks, including difficulties of vascular access (e.g., hematoma or pseudoaneurysm), radiation direct exposure, iodinated contrast, catheter adjustment (e.g., vessel dissection or rupture), or embolization (e.g., back or analytical infarction) [8]. Embolization of vertebral body tumors is potentially dangerous because of numerous vascular channels around the vertebral column. Nontarget embolization could cause catastrophic consequences. Intraprocedural angiographic visualization of securities and Adamkiewicz's artery is crucial. Occlusion of this artery can cause permanent neurologic deficit and even paraplegia.

The reported success rate of embolization is 37% (full embolization of tumor) to 63% (partial embolization) [6]. The major sign for transarterial embolization in metastatic spinal illness is preoperative embolization. It represents a safe and efficient procedure to lower intraoperative

blood loss [5], [6]. It can also be utilized as a palliative therapeutic option that could offer rapid relief of signs [7].

There is only one absolute contraindication to embolization in spine area. Visualization of any kind of dangerous anastomoses is an outright contraindication. Irreparable coagulopathy is the significant loved one contraindication. Various other loved one contraindications are kidney insufficiency and allergy to iodinated comparison media.

- **Prostatic transarterial embolization surgery techniques**

In 2013 the prospective nonrandomised trial by Pisco et al [9] assessed PAE in 255 patients for the therapy of modest to serious LUTS due to BPE at a single centre. The technological procedure, specified as either unilateral or bilateral embolization, was practically effective in 97.9% and 88% of treated patients were released on the same day of treatment. In this research study, the mean procedure time was 73 min and the mean fluoroscopy time was 18 min, which is amongst the shortest fluoroscopy times discussed in the literary works and needs to therefore not be taken into consideration representative for the treatment. Clinical end result parameters demonstrated efficiency of PAE. At the 3-mo follow-up a substantial impact on LUTS as gauged by IPSS with a mean decrease from 24 to 11 points and an improvement in QoL with a mean change from 4.4 to 2.23 points were reported (both $p < 0.0001$). Qmax increased from 9.2 ml/s to 12.4 ml/s and postvoid recurring urine quantity decreased from 102.9 ml to 59.2 ml (both $p < 0.0001$). Mean prostate volume changed from 83.5 cc to 68.3 cc at 3 mo. The favorable therapy reactions were secure as much as 12 mo. Neither situations of retrograde ejaculation were videotaped, nor disability of erectile function as figured out making use of the IIEF questionnaire was recorded. Comparable results validating technical success and suggesting efficiency without compromising sex-related function were obtained in extra unrestrained pilot research studies

[10], [11] 2 potential RCTs were carried out for straight contrast of PAE with the reference approach TURP [12], [13]. Both research studies observed substantial therapy results for both procedures as compared with baseline worths, however TURP was always superior considering urodynamic parameters such as Qmax and postvoid recurring pee quantity. Improvement of LUTS as identified by IPSS and QoL was more pronounced after TURP and decrease of prostate quantity was considerably much more effective after TURP compared to PAE. Another 1-yr matchedpair evaluation compared PAE to open up prostatectomy for management of LUTS due to BPE and reported dramatically remarkable practical results as identified by IPSS, QoL, Qmax, and postvoid residual urine quantity for open prostatectomy [14]. Altogether, offered information show a high technical success rate and recommend some clinical advantage for the therapy LUTS.

Nonetheless, a just recently published systematic evaluation with meta-analysis and meta-regression on available information ended that PAE must still be taken into consideration an experimental approach [15]. CTs of good quality are still missing to justify this interesting strategy on an elective indication and are currently continuous. The option of LUTS patients that will certainly take advantage of PAE still have to be specified. It is essential to tension, that of the presented unique minimally intrusive treatment techniques above are able to particularly target the critical locations of bladder outlet obstruction second to BPE. On the other hand, PAE influences the entire prostate without the alternative for focused and regulated action on bladder outlet obstruction. This might discuss the higher clinical failure rate compared to reference approaches like TURP and commonly observed issues like acute urinary retention in almost 26% of instances [13]. Management of LUTS as a result of BPE have to be managed by urologists. A multidisciplinary team method of urologists and radiologists is obligatory as the basis for future

RCTs of good quality in order to integrate this appealing option in the range of reliable minimally intrusive treatment alternatives.

- **Renal transarterial embolization surgery techniques**

Transarterial embolization (TAE) is a method that is increasingly being utilized to enhance surgical alternatives for an increasing variety of pathologies [16]. Renal cell cancer (RCC) is the 10th leading root cause of cancer fatality in adult males in the United States. It has likewise been enhancing in incidence on a global range [17], [18]. The boost is most likely because of the use of CT imaging for other conditions enhancing detection of incidentally found kidney tumors. While the occurrence of RCC is raising, the quantity of patients presenting with metastatic condition is alarmingly high at 20-30% [19].

Kidney artery embolization was initially used to treat unresectable renal cancers that were symptomatic yet is currently being progressively utilized to increase surgical resection [19]. Surgeons started to use kidney embolization in the 1970s [20] given that resection of kidney tumors can be connected with a high rate of perioperative morbidity pertaining to hemorrhage. No consensus on the use of TAE has been made as the variety of prospective studies is small. Because of the lack of agreement on preoperative TAE with resection versus surgery alone we chose to investigate the differences in between both techniques at our institution.

Transarterial embolization (TAE) is recognized as a strategy that promotes nephrectomy in selected patients. There have been demonstrated reductions in transfusion needs, intra-operative blood loss and duration of surgical treatment in patients with tumors of either large size or innovative stage [21]. Benefits include decreased tumor vascularity which permits early ligation

of the renal vein. TAE is particularly helpful in cases where the tumor includes the kidney hilum [22].

While the small sample size of our research study limited the power of our findings we did see similarities to various other research studies. Zielinski et al. showed a rise in five and ten year survival with TAE when compared to surgery alone. This was a non-randomized study at a single organization and the distinction only related to T2 and T3 tumors [23]. A more current study by May et al. discovered no distinction in survival between patients treated with surgical treatment and TAE compared to surgery alone. These searchings for could be inaccurate as subsequent was longer for the TAE team. This very same research also located no considerable distinction in issues although there was a considerable increase in the transfusion need for the non-TAE team ($p < 0.01$) [24].

Surgeons recommend TAE prior to surgical procedure as it aids in dissection as a result of edema of the tissue planes. There are also some surgeons that assume that boosted survival with TAE is because of the immunomodulatory effect of the embolization. Post embolization tumor necrosis upregulates a lymphoproliferative state and with a specific tumor response of natural awesome cells. TAE is typically well endured with a small number of patients experiencing post infarction syndrome characterized by nausea, discomfort, and fever [25]. Issues connected with TAE have been reported by Lammer et al. to include erroneous infarction of bowel, contralateral kidney and spinal column with a death of 3.3% and significant morbidity of 9.9% in a collection of 121 procedures [26].

Studies have shown that preoperative TAE has been associated with decreased blood loss which follows searchings for from our institution [21]. TAE facilitates resection of a larger and more

advanced stage tumor with comparable blood loss to nephrectomy alone. Shortcomings with our research study are connected with the reduced power, the case-matched layout and the technique of embolization with coils. Coils have been shown to be much less reliable in embolization as the current favored technique makes use of n-butyl cyanoacrylate glue which allows rapid and conclusive distal occlusion of a voluminous vascular bed and causes necrosis in perivascular tissue [27]. While TAE before operative intervention has been shown to decrease blood loss for sophisticated phase tumors, possible randomized trials are had to demonstrate a survival take advantage of kidney artery embolization incorporated with surgery.

- **Transarterial embolization surgery techniques of angiomyolipoma**

The implications of this research study of transarterial embolization of angiomyolipoma are a high technical success (93.3%), a low retreatment rate (20.9%), and a mean size decrease of 3.4 centimeters. These results are based upon mean follow-up period of 39 months, and long-term information assessing retreatment rate and sustained size-reduction are lacking. Chosen small studies with longer follow-up have shown increasing retreatment rates with longer follow-up. This may indicate retreatment rate rises over time [28]. Low procedural death (0%) compares with a 0.9% thirty-day death associated with nephrectomy [29]. Embolization is related to high rates of unfavorable impacts (42.8%), however the majority are self-limiting post-embolization syndromes (35.9% of all embolizations). Routine postembolization syndrome prophylaxis, consisting of antipyretics, antiemetics and analgesia could have a function in peri-procedural management. A number of individual research studies have reported lower rates of postembolization disorder with super-selective embolization [30]. More morbidity was uncommon, taking place in only 6.9% of all embolizations. This compares with 12% morbidity associated with partial nephrectomy for angiomyolipoma, which are normally extra major

[31]. Techniques for embolization consist of selective and super-selective techniques, embolizing from varying factors within the kidney arterial tree. Moreover, there exists a range of embolization techniques, including ethanol, coils, foam and microparticles, which can be used alone or in combination. Several researches have contrasted varying dimension of embolic agents. It has been recommended that combination treatment may be most efficient in minimizing retroperitoneal hemorrhage, with microparticle embolization of the distal vascular bed to prevent retrograde filling, and coil occlusion of arterial inflow in an effort to both occlude perfusion and decrease arterial inflow pressures [32]. The small number of instances in studied instance series, and existence of multiple confounding factors between researches, does not allow strong referrals for any type of one embolization agent at this time.

Conclusion:

Emergency surgery or transarterial embolization (TAE) are options for the therapy of recurrent or refractory nonvariceal upper gastrointestinal bleeding. Surgery has the disadvantage of high rates of postoperative morbidity and mortality. Embolization has come to be more available and has the advantage of preventing laparotomy in this often unfit and elderly population. Transarterial embolization are successful minimally invasive approach for the treatment of metastatic spine and extraspinal illness. Transarterial embolization of angiomyolipoma demonstrates low rates of death and severe difficulties. Retreatment rates and size reduction at a mean follow-up duration of 39 months are presented. Longitudinal information evaluating long-lasting dimension reduction and retreatment rates are lacking, suggestions guiding the indications for transarterial embolization and clear follow-up need further longitudinal data. TAE is related to low procedural death (0%).

Reference:

1. Khairy M. Preoperative embolization in surgical management of massive thoracic tumors. *Asian Cardiovasc. Thorac. Ann.* 2012;20(6):689–693.
2. Rosch J, Dotter CT, Brown MJ. Selective arterial embolization. A new method for control of acute gastrointestinal bleeding. *Radiology.* 1972;102(2):303–306.
3. Gangi A, Buy X. Percutaneous bone tumor management. *Semin Interv Radiol* 2010;27(2):124-36.
4. Benati A, Dalle Ore G, Da Pian R, Bricolo A, Maschio A, Perini S. Transfemoral selective embolisation in the treatment of some cranial and vertebro-spinal vascular malformations and tumours: preliminary results. *J Neurosurg Sci* 1974;18:233-8.
5. Wilson MA, Cooke DL, Ghodke B, Mirza SK. Retrospective analysis of preoperative embolization of spinal tumors. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2010;31(4):656-60.
6. Schmidt R, Rupp-Heim G, Dammann F, Ulrich C, Nothwang J. Surgical therapy of vertebral metastases. Are there predictive parameters for intraoperative excessive blood loss despite preoperative embolization? *Tumori* 2011;97(1):66-73.
7. Smit JW, Vielvoye GJ, Goslings BM. Embolization for vertebral metastases of follicular thyroid carcinoma. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2000;85(3):989-99.
8. Dupuy DE, Hong R, Oliver B, Goldberg SN. Radiofrequency ablation of spinal tumors: temperature distribution in the spinal canal. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2000;175:1263-6.
9. Pisco JM, Rio Tinto H, Campos Pinheiro L, et al. Embolisation of prostatic arteries as treatment of moderate to severe lower urinary symptoms (LUTS) secondary to benign hyperplasia: results of short- and mid-term follow-up. *Eur Radiol* 2013;23:2561–72.
10. Bilhim T, Pisco J, Rio Tinto H, et al. Unilateral versus bilateral prostatic arterial embolization for lower urinary tract symptoms in patients with prostate enlargement. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol* 2013;36:403–11.
11. Pisco JM, Bilhim T, Pinheiro LC, et al. Medium- and long-term outcome of prostate artery embolization for patients with benign prostatic hyperplasia: results in 630 patients. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 2016;27:1115–22.
12. Carnevale FC, Iscaife A, Yoshinaga EM, Moreira AM, Antunes AA, Srougi M. Transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) versus original and PErFecTED prostate artery embolization (PAE) due to benign prostatic hyperplasia (bph): preliminary results of a single center, prospective, urodynamic-controlled analysis. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol* 2016;39:44–52.
13. Gao YA, Huang Y, Zhang R, et al. Benign prostatic hyperplasia: prostatic arterial embolization versus transurethral resection of the prostate—a prospective, randomized, and controlled clinical trial. *Radiology* 2014;270:920–8.
14. Russo GI, Kurbatov D, Sansalone S, et al. Prostatic arterial embolization vs open prostatectomy: a 1-year matched-pair analysis of functional outcomes and morbidities. *Urology* 2015;86: 343–8.

15. Shim SR, Kanhai KJ, Ko YM, Kim JH. Efficacy and safety of prostatic arterial embolization: systematic review with meta-analysis and meta-regression. *J Urol* 2017;197:465–79.
16. Khairy M. Preoperative embolization in surgical management of massive thoracic tumors. *Asian Cardiovasc. Thorac. Ann.* 2012;20(6):689–693.
17. Siegel R. Cancer statistics. *CA: Cancer J. Clin.* 2014;64(1):9–29.
18. Bhatt J.R., Finelli A. Landmarks in the diagnosis and treatment of renal cell carcinoma. *Nat. Rev. Urol.* 2014;11(9):517–525.
19. Rilling W.S., Chen G.W. Preoperative embolization. *Semin. Interv. Radiol.* 2004;21(1):3–9.
20. Almgård L.E. Treatment of renal adenocarcinoma by embolic occlusion of the renal circulation. *Br. J. Urol.* 1973;45(5):474–479.
21. Bakal C.W. Value of preoperative renal artery embolization in reducing blood transfusion requirements during nephrectomy for renal cell carcinoma. *J. Vasc. Interv. Radiol.* 1993;4(6):727–731.
22. Kalman D., Verenhorst E. The role of arterial embolization in renal cell carcinoma. *Scand. J. Urol. Nephrol.* 1999;33:162–170.
23. Zielinski H., Szmigielski S., Petrovich Z. Comparison of preoperative embolization followed by radical nephrectomy with radical nephrectomy alone for renal cell carcinoma. *Am. J. Clin. Oncol.* 2000;23(1):6–12.
24. May M. Pre-operative renal arterial embolisation does not provide survival benefit in patients with radical nephrectomy for renal cell carcinoma. *Br. J. Radiol.* 2009;82(981):724–731.
25. Bergreen P.W., Woodside J., Paster S.B. Therapeutic renal infarction. *J. Urol.* 1977;118(3):372–374.
26. Lammer J., Justich E., Shreyer H. Complications of renal tumor embolization. *Cardiovasc. Interv. Radiol.* 1985;8:31–35.
27. Loffroy R. Renal artery embolisation prior to radical nephrectomy for renal cell carcinoma: when, how and why? *Br. J. Radiol.* 2010;83(991):630.
28. Ramon J, Rimon U, Garniek A et al.: Renal angiomyolipoma: long-term results following selective arterial embolization. *Eur Urol.* 2009 May;55(5):1155-61.
29. Cloutier V, Capitanio U, Zini L et al: Thirty-day mortality after nephrectomy: clinical implications for informed consent. *Eur Urol.* 2009 Dec;56(6):998-1003.
30. Williams JM, Racadio JM, Johnson ND et al: Embolization of renal angiomyolipomata in patients with tuberous sclerosis complex. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2006 Jan;47(1):95-102.
31. Boorjian SA, Frank I, Inman B et al: The role of partial nephrectomy for the management of sporadic renal angiomyolipoma. *Urology.* 2007 Dec;70(6):1064-8.
32. Lenton J, Kessel D, Watkinson AF. Embolization of renal angiomyolipoma: immediate complications and longterm outcomes. *Clin Radiol.* 2008 8//;63(8):864-70.